



CRL OP(MD). No. 8539 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 29.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 8539 of 2026

Boobalan @ Boobalakrishnan

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Taluk Police Station
Palani, Dindigul.
(Crime No. 264 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Mohan
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 264 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/6



2. The case of the prosecution is that on 23.04.2026, when the defacto complainant was travelling in his car, the petitioner, who was riding his two-wheeler, tried to overtake his car. At that time, the petitioner continuously horned, which irritated the defacto complainant and abused him and attacked him and took his mobile phone. Hence, the case.

2/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 8539 of 2026

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 323, 506(i) and 379 of IPC in Crime No. 264 of 2026. He would further submit that there is a dispute between the parties while overtaking the vehicle and the injured was treated as out-patient. He further submits that the petitioner has no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the facts that there is a dispute between the parties while overtaking the vehicle and the injured was treated as out-patient and the petitioner has no previous case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:



CRL OP(MD). No. 8539 of 2026

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Palani, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner



CRL OP(MD). No. 8539 of 2026

released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself
as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs.
State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be
registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
29.04.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Palani.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Taluk Police Station
Palani, Dindigul.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 8539 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 8539 of 2026

Date : 29.04.2026