



CRL OP(MD). No. 8523 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 29.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 8523 of 2026

1.V.Chinnalagu
2.Alagu

...Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Sanarpatti Police Station,
Sanarpatti, Dindigul East,
Dindigul.
(Crime No. 156 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Karantharaja
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 156 of 2026 on the file of the



CRL OP(MD). No. 8523 of 2026

respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w Section 4 of TNPWH Act, in Crime No. 156 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the defacto complainant attempted to pacify the fight between the first petitioner's brother-in-law and their clans, the petitioners have attacked the defacto complainant and assaulted him, causing injuries and threatened with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that co-accused was released on bail. Hence, he prayed to grant



CRL OP(MD). No. 8523 of 2026

anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w Section 4 of TNPWH Act, in Crime No. 156 of 2026. He would further submit that there is a property dispute between the parties. He would further submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioners have no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, and the relationship between the parties and considering the facts that the injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioners have no previous case



CRL OP(MD). No. 8523 of 2026

and also co-accused was released on bail, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Additional Mahila Court, Dindigul, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the



CRL OP(MD). No. 8523 of 2026

WEB COPY

learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
29.04.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Additional Mahila Court, Dindigul.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Sanarpatti Police Station,
Sanarpatti, Dindigul East,
Dindigul.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 8523 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 8523 of 2026

Date : 29.04.2026