



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.2560 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : **02.06.2026**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI**

W.P.Crl.(MD)No.2560 of 2026

Ganesan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Superintendent of Police,
O/o. Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.

2. State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Dindigul, Dindigul District.

3. Jesunithi

4. Balamurugan

... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents No.1 and 2 to take appropriate action against the respondents No. 3 and 4 by conducting an enquiry based on the petitioner's representation dated 01.12.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Asok Kumar Ram

For Respondents : Mr.D.Rajaboopathy (R1 & R2)

Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

Mr.M.Mohammed Ayyadurai (R3 & R4)



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.2560 of 2026


ORDER

WEB COPY

This petition has been filed seeking for a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 to take appropriate action against the respondents 3 and 4 by conducting an enquiry based on the petitioner's representation dated 01.12.2025.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the respondents 3 and 4 had defrauded the petitioner to a tune of Rs.16,43,000/- on the guise of getting a job. It was further submitted that, despite a complaint having been lodged before the second respondent police in this regard, no effective action was taken. Aggrieved by such inaction, the petitioner has approached this Court by way of the present writ petition.

3. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent police submitted that notices were issued to both the petitioner and respondents 3 and 4, pursuant to which a detailed enquiry was conducted. It was further submitted that the parties had amicably resolved their disputes and had given written undertakings before the second respondent police recording the settlement of their disputes.



4. Per contra, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner categorically contended that not even a single penny has been paid or settled by respondents 3 and 4 thus far.

5. The learned counsel appearing for respondents 3 and 4 submitted that the private respondents had already paid a sum of Rs.6,00,000/- towards settlement and that they are prepared to produce documents to substantiate the same.

6. Heard the learned counsel on either sides and carefully perused the materials placed before this Court.

7. This is a clear case of job racketing, where the petitioner has been defrauded on the guise of fetching a job for him by the 3rd and 4th respondents. The second respondent police is directed to reopen the case of the petitioner, based on the petitioner's representation dated 01.12.2025 and conduct a discreet enquiry by putting the petitioner as well as the 3rd and 4th respondents on notice under Section 64 BNSS and conclude the same within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The first respondent is directed to monitor the investigation being conducted by the second respondent. Further,



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.2560 of 2026


the second respondent is also directed to apprise the progress of the

WEB COPY investigation to the first respondent on every Friday.

8. This writ petition is disposed with the above directions.

02.06.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
sm



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.2560 of 2026

TO:-

WEB COPY

1. The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.
2. The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Dindigul, Dindigul District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD)No.2560 of 2026

L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.

Sm

Order made in
W.P.Crl.(MD)No.2560 of 2026

Dated
02.06.2026