



W.P(MD)No.16841 of 2026

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 25.06.2026

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.JOTHIRAMAN**

W.P(MD)No.16841 of 2026

V.Parthiban

... Petitioner

vs.

- 1.The Registrar (Vigilance),
Madras High Court,
Chennai-600 104.
- 2.The Assistant Registrar (Per Admin)
Madras High Court, Madurai Bench,
Madurai-625 023.
- 3.The Chief Administrative Officer,
Principal District Court,
Tiruchirappalli-620 001.

... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st and 2nd respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 03.03.2026 and pass appropriate orders.



W.P(MD)No.16841 of 2026

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Thanga Prakash

For Respondents : Mr.K.Samidurai
Standing Counsel

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.)

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a direction to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 03.03.2026.

2.The petitioner is the fourth respondent in Execution Petition No. 89 of 2017 in Arbitration Case No. 4 of 2015 on the file of the Principal District Court, Tiruchirappalli. An order was passed therein on 18.04.2024. According to the petitioner, on that day, a conditional order was passed directing the office to verify the deposit amount of Rs.79,46,900/- and further directing the judgment debtor to execute the sale deed within a period of two months. The detailed order, however, was uploaded on the E-Courts website only on 04.06.2024. According to the petitioner, the order appears to have been subsequently manipulated, that too after the retirement of the Presiding Officer.



W.P(MD)No.16841 of 2026

WEB COPY 3. We have perused the entire records.

4. We are of the view that the very same complaint had already been addressed to the High Court. One of us, in the capacity of the Administrative Judge, had occasion to deal with the complaint, verify the entire file, and thereafter close the complaint. It is on the very same allegations that the present Writ Petition has been filed.

5. In such view of the matter, we find no merit in the Writ Petition. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands dismissed. No costs.

[N.S.K.,J.] [M.J.R.,J.]
25.06.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
am



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.16841 of 2026

N.SATHISH KUMAR, J.
and
M.JOTHIRAMAN, J.

am

ORDER MADE IN
W.P(MD)No.16841 of 2026

DATED : 25.06.2026