



CRL OP(MD). No. 8379 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 8379 of 2026

1.Suresh

2.Jesima Yasmin

...Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Omachikulam Police Station
Madurai.

(Crime No. 35 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.T.Palanisamy
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : M/s.R.Krishnaveni

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 35 of 2026 on the file of the



CRL OP(MD). No. 8379 of 2026

respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 191(1), 191(2), 296(b), 135, 115(2), 118(1) and 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No. 35 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and other accused has unlawfully assembled and attacked the defacto complainant with deadly weapon and scolded him in filthy language and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that counter case is also registered as against the defacto complainant. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the



CRL OP(MD). No. 8379 of 2026

petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(1), 191(2), 296(b), 135, 115(2), 118(1) and 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No. 35 of 2026. He would further submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital and counter case is also registered. He would further submit that the petitioners have no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned counsel for the intervenor reiterated the submission of the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD). No. 8379 of 2026

7. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, and considering the facts that there is a dispute between the parties and counter case is also filed and injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate -II, Madurai, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the Inspector of Police, Melur Police Station, Madurai, daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 8379 of 2026

witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
30.04.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate -II, Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Omachikulam Police Station
Madurai.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station
Madurai.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 8379 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 8379 of 2026

Date : 30.04.2026
(2/2)