



CRL OP(MD). No. 8299 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 27.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 8299 of 2026

1. Sekar

2. Kandasamy

...Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Valanadu Police Station
Valanadu
Trichy District
(Crime No.108 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioners : Mr.T.Vadivelan

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi

Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.108 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 8299 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners/A1 and A2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS in Crime No.108 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity regarding land dispute the petitioners herein along with other accused abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, assaulted and also threatened with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and a false case has been foisted against them. The petitioners have nothing to do with the alleged crime. He would further submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) would submit that the petitioners herein along with other accused abused the defacto complainant



CRL OP(MD). No. 8299 of 2026

in filthy language, assaulted and also threatened with dire consequences. He would further submit that the investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side, nature of offence and the considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 8299 of 2026

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
27.04.2026

aav



CRL OP(MD). No. 8299 of 2026

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Manaparai
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Valanadu Police Station
Valanadu
Trichy District
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 8299 of 2026
P. DHANABAL, J

aav

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 8299 of 2026

Date : 27.04.2026