



CRL OP(MD). No.8194 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 27/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.8194 of 2026

1. Jamuna Vaani Sri

2. Pradeepan

... Petitioners/ Accused No.1&2

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Theni Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No. 205 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER :-

AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 205 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioners : K.Dinesh,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the



CRL OP(MD), No.8194 of 2026

respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 61(2), 115(2), 316, 324(4) and 351(2) of the BNS Act, 2023, in Crime No.205 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners are the lovers and the first petitioner herein is the daughter of the defacto complainant. On 03.01.2026, the first petitioner along with the second petitioner, abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted him and caused injuries by demanding jewels and household articles.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that after the demise of the mother of the first petitioner, her father/defacto complainant developed illegal affair with one Vasanthi and the same was questioned by the first petitioner, he foisted this false case against the petitioners and the injured was only treated as out patient and no previous case is pending against the petitioners. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD), No.8194 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that the first petitioner along with the second petitioner, abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted him and caused injuries by demanding jewels and household articles and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. He would further submit that the injured was only treated as out patient and no previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, and the relationship between the parties i.e., father and daughter and there is a family dispute between the parties and the injured was only treated as out patient and no previous case is pending against the accused., I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:



CRL OP(MD), No.8194 of 2026

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate Court, Theni**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the



CRL OP(MD), No.8194 of 2026

WEB COPY

petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
27.04.2026

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court, Theni.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Theni Police Station, Theni District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.8194 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

DSS

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8194 of 2026

Date : 27/04/2026