



CRL OP(MD). No.8138 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 27/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.8138 of 2026

Deepanraj

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Manur Police Station,
Tirunelveli.

Crime No.465 of 2026.

... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime no.465 of 2026 on the file of the
Respondent Police.

For Petitioner : M.Perumal,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD), No.8138 of 2026

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 296(b), 115(2), 351(3), 303(2) of BNSS & Section 4 of TNPHW Act and Section 3 of TNPPDL Act (Corresponding Sections 448, 294(b), 323, 506(ii) & 399 of IPC), in Crime No.465 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to money dispute, on 02.04.2026, the petitioner and other accused are said to have trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and abused her and her family members in filthy language and attacked the her and her husband and caused injury and threatened them with dire consequences and also caused damaged to the household articles. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that the injured were discharged from the hospital and the co-accused was arrested and released on bail. Hence, he prays to grant



CRL OP(MD), No.8138 of 2026

anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that due to money dispute, the petitioner and other accused abused and attacked the the defacto complainant and her family members and caused injuries to them and threatened them with dire consequences and the investigation is still pending and the petitioner is having 7 previous cases. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He would further submit that the injured were discharged from the hospital and the co-accused was arrested and released on bail.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and there is a money dispute between the parties and though the petitioner has 7 previous cases, those cases are not a similar kind of offences and in those cases, he was granted bail and anticipatory bail and the injured were discharged from the hospital and the co-accused was arrested and released on bail, I am



CRL OP(MD), No.8138 of 2026

inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.V, Tirunelveli**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the



CRL OP(MD), No.8138 of 2026

WEB COPY

learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

27.04.2026

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.V, Tirunelveli.
- 2.The The Inspector of Police,
Manur Police Station, Tirunelveli.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.8138 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

DSS

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8138 of 2026

Date : 27/04/2026