



CRL OP(MD). No.8159 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 27/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.8159 of 2026

1. Deivendran @ Theivendran
2. Mani
3. Poomayil @ Ponnumayil
4. Rajeshwari
5. Banu @ Banupriya
6. Venkat @ Venkateshwaran

... Petitioners/ Accused No.1 to 6

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Othakadai Police Station,
Madurai District.
Crime No. 137 of 2026.

... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 137 of 2026 on the file of



CRL OP(MD), No.8159 of 2026

the respondent Police.

WEB COPY

For Petitioners : S.Murugapandi,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.137 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 18.04.2026, due to family dispute between the first petitioner and the defacto complainant, who is the mother-in-law of the first petitioner the petitioners abused the defacto complainant and her daughter in filthy language and attacked her daughter and caused injuries and threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, the case.



CRL OP(MD), No.8159 of 2026

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that it is a case and case in counter and the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous cases is pending against the petitioners. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that due to family dispute between the first petitioner and the defacto complainant, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant and her daughter in filthy language and attacked her daughter and caused injuries and threatened them with dire consequences and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. He would further submit that it is a case and case in counter and the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous cases is pending against the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD), No.8159 of 2026

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, and there is a family dispute between the family members and the defacto complainant is none other than the mother-in-law of the first petitioner and counter case has been registered against the complainant and the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous cases is pending against the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Melur**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD), No.8159 of 2026

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
27.04.2026

dss



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.8159 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

DSS

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Melur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Othakadai Police Station, Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.8159 of 2026

Date : 27/04/2026