



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8140 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 29.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 8140 of 2026

Peramaiyan

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Madukkur Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
(Crime No.92 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.M.Karunakaran
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 92 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.03.2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 105 & 238 of BNS, in Crime No.92 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8140 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 27.03.2026 at 04.00 p.m., the defacto complainant's husband went to the petitioner's workshop, who is their son-in-law, in drunken mode. Thereafter, there was wordy quarrel arose between them. In which, the petitioner in inebriated mode attacked the deceased. He pushed down him. Therefore, the deceased fell down and was admitted in the hospital. On the next day, he died. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. There was previous dispute between them. Hence, this false case has been foisted against him. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the offence are grave in nature. There was a wordy quarrel arose between the deceased and the petitioner, who is his son-in-law. In that quarrel, the petitioner pushed down the deceased and he fell down. The deceased sustained injuries and admitted in the hospital. On the next day, he died. Investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8140 of 2026

petitioner. However, the petitioner has no previous case against him.

WEB COPY

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of charges levelled against the petitioner, according to the prosecution, there was a scuffle between the parties, in which, the deceased was pushed down and he sustained injuries and died, the petitioner has no previous case and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Pattukottai, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.8140 of 2026

offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the
commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation
or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any
inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts
of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the
Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned
Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action
against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions
have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned
Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme
Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be
registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
29.04.2026

TM



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8140 of 2026

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Pattukottai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Madukkur Police Station,
Thanjavur District. (Crime No.92 of 2026)
- 3.The Superintendent, Sub Jail, Thanjavur.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.8140 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 8140 of 2026

Date : 29.04.2026