



CRL OP(MD). No.8048 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 27/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.8048 of 2026

R.Sathish

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep By,  
The Inspector of Police,  
Suthamalli Police Station,  
Tirunelveli District.  
(Crime No.438 of 2026).

... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.438 of 2026 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Karansingh K,  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD), No.8048 of 2026

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) & 351(2) of BNS Act and Section 4 of TNPHW Act (Corresponding Sections 294(b), 323, 324 & 506(2) of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act), in Crime No.438 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 02.04.2026, due to the land dispute, when the husband of the defacto complainant was driving his two wheeler along with his children, the petitioner and the other accused waylaid him and pulled the two wheeler. Thereby, they fell down and sustained injuries. When the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, they attacked her with an iron rod and caused injury and threatened her with dire consequences. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous



CRL OP(MD), No.8048 of 2026

case is pending against the petitioner. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that due to land dispute, the petitioners and other accused attacked the defacto complainant with an iron rod and caused injury and threatened her with dire consequences and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He would further submit that the injured was discharge from the hospital and the petitioner has no previous case.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and there is a land dispute between the parties and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioner has no previous case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be



CRL OP(MD), No.8048 of 2026

WEB COPY

released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi**, and on further conditions that:

**[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court



CRL OP(MD), No.8048 of 2026

WEB COPY

himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in  
P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can  
be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

27.04.2026

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,  
Suthamalli Police Station, Tirunelveli District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.8048 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

DSS

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.8048 of 2026

Date : 27/04/2026