



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7897 of 2026*

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 22.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

**CRL OP(MD). No. 7897 of 2026**

Saraswathi @ Saraswathiammal

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Thuvrankurichi Police Station,  
Trichy District.  
(Crime No.114 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioner : Ms.C.Geetha  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi  
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

**PRAYER :-**

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 114 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7897 of 2026*

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous dispute, the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant with aruval and caused injuries to her hand and leg. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and she was falsely implicated in this case and she is no way connected in the above said incident. She has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, she prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that the offences are grave in nature. Due to previous dispute the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant with aruval, thereby she sustained greivous injuries on the leg and hand and admitted in the hospital. Thereafter discharged from the hospital. Hence, he vehemently opposed the granting of bail to the petitioner. Investigation is still pending. The petitioner has no previous case.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



*CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7897 of 2026*

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, there is civil dispute between the parties, injured was discharged from the hospital, there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and considering all other facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai and on further conditions that:

**[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police at 10.30 a.m., on every Saturday, for a period of four weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation;**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



*CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7897 of 2026*

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)  
22.04.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,  
Thuvarankurichi Police Station,  
Trichy District.  
(Crime No.114 of 2026)
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7897 of 2026*

**P. DHANABAL, J.**

TM

ORDER  
IN  
**CRL OP(MD) No. 7897 of 2026**

Date : 22.04.2026