



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7881 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 22.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 7881 of 2026

1.Harikrishnan
2.Saraswathi

... Petitioners

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Subramaniyapuram Police Station,
Madurai City.
(Crime No.123 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.A.Uthayakumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.123 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 131, 324(2) and 116 in Crime



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7881 of 2026

No.123 of 2026, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous dispute, there was wordy quarrel between the first petitioner and the defacto complainant, who is his daughter. In which, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant. Therefore, she sustained injuries. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The defacto complainant is the daughter of the first petitioner. She performed marriage with another person, belongs to other community, against the wish of the 1st petitioner. Thereafter, they mutually separated due to some misunderstanding. In this circumstances, the defacto complainant went to the petitioner's house to assault the 1st petitioner. Counter case has also been registered. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. The first petitioner and the defacto complainant



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7881 of 2026

are father and daughter. Due to the family dispute, both parties made quarrel with each other and lodged complaint against each other. There is no previous case against the petitioners. The injured sustained simple injury. He opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Investigation is still pending.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, there is a family dispute between the parties, injured was discharged from the hospital, counter case has also been registered, there is no previous case against the petitioners, and also considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurai and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police at 10.30 a.m., on every Saturday, for a period of four weeks, thereafter,



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7881 of 2026

as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
22.04.2026

TM

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Subramaniyapuram Police Station,
Madurai City.

4/6



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7881 of 2026

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7881 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 7881 of 2026

Date : 22.04.2026