



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7829 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 7829 of 2026

Kannan @ Palanikumar

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Elanchembur Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.25 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Raja Karthikeyan
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 25 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) of BNS, in Crime No.25 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7829 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant found that the accused dumped river sand before the petitioner's newly constructing house sit. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that the offences are grave in nature. The petitioner is involved in theft of valuable minerals. He opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. However, the petitioner has one previous case. Hence, the case.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, considering the minerals involved, only for construction of the property they purchased the sand from the lorry



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7829 of 2026

owners, the petitioner has no previous case and considering all other facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police at 10.30 a.m., on every Saturday for a period of four weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7829 of 2026

been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
21.04.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Elanchembur Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.25 of 2026)
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7829 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 7829 of 2026

Date : 21.04.2026