



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7733 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 7733 of 2026

1.Indira

2.Vinu Naya

... Petitioners

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Anjugramam Police Station,
Anjugramam,
Kanniyakumari District.
(Crime No.52 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.K.Muthurakkan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.52 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7733 of 2026

the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 296(b), 329, 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) and 303(2) of BNS and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No.64 of 2026, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, on 12.02.2026, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant and abused her. Hence, the defacto complainant sustained injuries and admitted in the hospital. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. There is family dispute between both parties. Therefore counter case has also been registered against the defacto complainant. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submits that the offences are grave in nature. Due to land dispute the petitioners entered into the quarrel with the defacto complainant and attacked her and also taken away a



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7733 of 2026

sum of Rs.10,000/- from her. The injured was discharged from the hospital.

There is no previous case against the petitioners. He opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, considering that there is land dispute between the parties, the injured in this case has been discharged from the hospital, counter case has also been registered, there is no previous case against the petitioners, and also considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Additional Mahila Judge, Nagercoil, Kanniyakumari District** and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police at



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7733 of 2026

10.30 a.m., on every Saturday, for a period of four weeks, thereafter,

as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
21.04.2026

TM

To

1.The Judge, Additional Mahila Court, Nagercoil,
Kanniyakumari District.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7733 of 2026

2.The Inspector of Police,
Anjugramam Police Station,
Anjugramam,
Kanniyakumari District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7733 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 7733 of 2026

Date : 21.04.2026