



CRL OP(MD). No.7675 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20/04/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.7675 of 2026

1. Srinivasan,
S/o. Mani,
Shasthri Road,
Thillai Nagar,
Trichy.

2. Poornima,
W/o. Srinivasan,
Shasthri Road,
Thillai Nagar,
Trichy.

... Petitioners/Accused No.1 & 2

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Fort Police Station,
Trichy City.
Crime No.115 of 2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Haroon Rasheed,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



CRL OP(MD). No.7675 of 2026

WEB COPY PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-24AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.
115 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 and A2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.115 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is running the Textile business name and style as New Look Readymades situated at Nandhi Kovil Street, Trichy. The petitioners 1 and 2 are husband and wife and they are running the textile business name and style as Varadaraj silks situated at Periya Kadai



CRL OP(MD). No.7675 of 2026

Veethi, Trichy. In order to start a new branch, the defacto complainant approached the petitioners and they have informed that the building situated in Door No.28 at Nandhi Kovil Street, Trichy, belongs to them and agreed to rent out for which, the petitioners received a sum of Rs.25,00,000/- from the defacto complainant and executed rent agreement. Subsequently, they had not returned the key and further, they demanded a sum of Rs.1,75,000/- for renovation work and the same were also given. Thereafter, the petitioners had not returned the above said amount. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that the first petitioner preferred a suit in O.S.No.554



CRL OP(MD). No.7675 of 2026

of 2025 on the file of the III Additional District Munsif Court, Trichy as against the defacto complainant and the same is also pending. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and the petitioners have one previous cases. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and there was a civil dispute pending between the parties and the first



CRL OP(MD). No.7675 of 2026

petitioner preferred a suit in O.S.No.554 of 2025 on the file of the III Additional District Munsif Court, Trichy as against the defacto complainant and the same is also pending and also considering the fact that the petitioners have no previous cases and the investigation might have been completed and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Trichy, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30



CRL OP(MD). No.7675 of 2026

WEB COPY

a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR



CRL OP(MD). No.7675 of 2026

SCW 5560].

WEB COPY

[g] If the accused/petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
20.04.2026

VSG

TO

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Trichy.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Fort Police Station,
Trichy City.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.7675 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.7675 of 2026

Date : 20/04/2026