



CRL OP(MD). No.7686 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20/04/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD) . No.7686 of 2026

1. Abinesh,
S/o.Serfoji,
3-752a,Parangi
Vettikadu,
Orathanadu Taluk,
Thanjavur 614 626.

2. Serfoji,
S/o.Muthuperumal,
3-752a,Parangi
Vettikadu,
Orathanadu Taluk,
Thanjavur 614 626.

3. Meera,
W/o.Serfoji,
3-752a,Parangi
Vettikadu,
Orathanadu Taluk,
Thanjavur 614 626.

... Petitioners/A1 to A3
Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Pappanadu Police Station,
Thanjavur District,
Crime No.164/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant



CRL OP(MD). No.7686 of 2026

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Pragadeesh Kumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-33AB.For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.
164/2026 on the file of the respondent police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 to A3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 324(4), 115(2) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of TNPWH Act, in Crime No.164 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on the date of occurrence, due to civil dispute between the parties, the petitioners and other accused



CRL OP(MD). No.7686 of 2026

persons abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also assaulted him and caused damage to the car and also criminally intimidated him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that it is a case in counter case. a counter complaint has been registered against the defacto complainant in Crime No.165 of 2026. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and no one was sustained any injury and the petitioners have no



CRL OP(MD). No.7686 of 2026

previous cases. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and there was a civil dispute between the parties and the counter complaint has been registered against the defacto complainant in Crime No.165 of 2026 and the petitioners have no previous cases and also considering the fact that no one sustained any injury and the investigation might have been completed and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory



CRL OP(MD). No.7686 of 2026

WEB COPY

bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Orathanadu, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD). No.7686 of 2026

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused/petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
20.04.2026

VSG



CRL OP(MD). No.7686 of 2026

WEB COPY

TO

- 1.The learned District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Orathanadu.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Pappanadu Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.7686 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.7686 of 2026

Date : 20/04/2026