



CRL OP(MD). No.7707 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 21/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.7707 of 2026

Praveen

... Petitioner/ Accused No.3

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Koodankulam Police Station,
Tirunelveli Distirct.

Crime No. 293 of 2026.

... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 293 of 2026 on the file of
the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : R.Mathava Selvam,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD), No.7707 of 2026

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) & 351(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.293 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity with regard to placing banners during a marriage function, on 08.03.2026 at about 05.30 p.m, when the defacto complainant and another person were crossing Uppilaadu Kulam on the Koodankulam-Parameswarapuram Road near M.P.Muthu Thottam, the petitioner and other accused are said to have abused them in filthy language and attacked the defacto complainant with stones and a beer bottle and caused injuries. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence, he prays to grant



CRL OP(MD), No.7707 of 2026

anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that due to previous enmity with regard to placing banners, the petitioner and other accused are said to have abused the defacto complainant and another one in filthy language and attacked the defacto complainant with stones and a beer bottle and caused injuries. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He would further submit that that the investigation is still pending and the injured was discharge from the hospital and the petitioner has no previous case.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and there is a dispute between the parties in placing banners in a marriage function and the injured was discharged from the hospital and the petitioner has no previous case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.7707 of 2026

released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate Radhapuram, Tirunelveli District**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court



CRL OP(MD), No.7707 of 2026

WEB COPY

himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in
P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can
be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

21.04.2026

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Radhapuram,
Tirunelveli District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Koodankulam Police Station,
Tirunelveli Distirct.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.7707 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

dss

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7707 of 2026

Date : 21/04/2026