



CRL OP(MD). No.7687 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 20/04/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD). No.7687 of 2026

1. Pakkeer Mohideen @ Packeer M,  
Ydeen, S/o.Pitchaimydeen,  
No.22/b, Kaythemillath Street,  
Pathamadai,  
Tirunelveli District..

2. Mohamed Haneefa @ Mohamed Ha,  
Niba.M, S/o.Varusai Haneefa,  
No.22/b, Kaythemillath Street,  
Pathamadai,  
Tirunelveli District..

3. Varusai Maideen @ Varisai My,  
Deen, S/o.Packir Bava,  
No.22/b, Kaythemillath Street,  
Pathamadai,  
Tirunelveli District..

4. Mohammed Abdul Pugari @ Moha,  
Mmed Puhari, S/o.Kajamydeen,  
No.4, Kaythemillath Street,  
Pathamadai,  
Tirunelveli District..

5. Syed,  
S/o.Sintha Madar,



CRL OP(MD). No.7687 of 2026

No.26a, Kaythemillath Street,  
Pathamadai,  
Tirunelveli District..

6. Kaja Mydeen,  
S/o.Packir Mohideen, No.22,  
Kaythemillath Street,  
Pathamadai,  
Tirunelveli District..

7. Mishkin @ Miskin,  
S/o.Tharmagaber,  
No.21, Kaythemillath Street,  
Pathamadai,  
Tirunelveli District..

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,  
The Inspector of Police,  
Pathamadai Police Station,  
Tirunelveli District.  
Crime No.153 of 2026..

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Sathyachidambaram,  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of  
BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-33AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime no.  
153 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.7687 of 2026

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 to A7, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 296(b), 115(2) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, and Section 4 of TNPWH Act, in Crime No.153 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 21.03.2026, the petitioners played near the defacto complainant's house, at that time, when the same was questioned by her, the petitioners abused her in filthy language and also assaulted her with wooden log. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they were falsely implicated in this



**CRL OP(MD). No.7687 of 2026**

case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that it is a case in counter case. a counter complaint has been registered against the defacto complainant in Crime No.152 of 2026. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and the petitioners have no previous cases. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD). No.7687 of 2026

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and there was a dispute between the parties and the counter complaint has been registered against the defacto complainant in Crime No.152 of 2026 and the petitioners have no previous cases and also considering the fact that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and the investigation might have been completed and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi, Tirunelveli District, and



CRL OP(MD). No.7687 of 2026

on further conditions that:

WEB COPY

**[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.**

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the



CRL OP(MD). No.7687 of 2026

WEB COPY

petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused/petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)  
20.04.2026

VSG

TO

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate,  
Cheranmahadevi, Tirunelveli District.

2.The Inspector of Police,  
Pathamadai Police Station,  
Tirunelveli District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.7687 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP (MD) No.7687 of 2026

Date : 20/04/2026