



CRL OP(MD). No.7566 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20/04/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

CRL OP(MD). No.7566 of 2026

1. Jeyasudha,
W/o.Chandrian,
2/51a, Mariamman Kovil Street,
T.Kallupatti,
Madurai..

2. Chandran,
S/o.Guruvu,
2/51a, Mariamman Kovil Street,
T.Kallupatti,
Madurai..

... petitioners/Accused No.2 & 3

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Awps-Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.
Crime No.14 of 2026..

... Respondent/Complainant

For petitioners : Mr.R.Jagadeeshwaran
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



CRL OP(MD). No.7566 of 2026

WEB COPY PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-33AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime no.14 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

When the matter is taken up for hearing the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that in the petition, the satisfaction Court has been wrongly mentioned as "learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sattur, Virudhunagar District" instead of "learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Virudhunagar District". Therefore, the said typographical error has to be corrected.

2.Hence, Registry is directed to carry out the above said correction in the main petition.



CRL OP(MD). No.7566 of 2026

3.The petitioners/A2 and A3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 69 and 296(b) of BNS, 2023 r/w Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.14 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

4. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners are parents of A1. A1 and the victim are relatives and due to love affair, A1 had sexual relationship with the victim on the false pretext of marriage. Hence, the case.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the allegation against A1



CRL OP(MD). No.7566 of 2026

only and the petitioners are parents of A1. He would further submit that the co-accused was already granted bail by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the investigation is still pending and the offences are grave in nature. He would further submit that the petitioners have no previous cases. He would further submit that the statement of the victim was recorded under Section 183 of BNSS, 2023. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record, including the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of BNSS, 2023.



CRL OP(MD). No.7566 of 2026

WEB COPY 8. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and and considering the facts that the statement of the victim was recorded under Section 183 of BNSS, 2023 and the petitioners have no previous cases and the co-accused was already granted bail by this Court and the petitioners are parents of A1 and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Virudhunagar District, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report



CRL OP(MD). No.7566 of 2026

WEB COPY

before the respondent police, once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30. a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as



CRL OP(MD). No.7566 of 2026

WEB COPY

laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in
P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR
SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter
absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered
under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
20.04.2026

VSG

TO

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Virudhunagar District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Awps-Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.7566 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.7566 of 2026

Date : 20/04/2026