



CRL MP(MD). No.8659 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated : 22/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL MP(MD). No.8659 of 2026
in CRL OP(MD) No.5529 of 2026

Dhanus

... Petitioner

Vs

1. P.Ponselvi

2. The Inspector of Police,
Kalakad Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
Crime No.191/2026.

... Respondents

PRAYER :-

To Cancel the bail granted in favour of the 1st Respondent /Sole Accused in Crl OP(MD) No.5529/2026 dt. 17.03.2026 and commit her to custody.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Marimuthu
Advocate.

For R2 : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R1 : Mr.B.Vinoth Kumar

1/5



WEB COPY



CRL MP(MD), No.8659 of 2026

ORDER

This petition is filed to cancel the bail granted in favour of the first respondent /sole accused in CrI OP(MD) No.5529/2026 dated 17.03.2026 and commit her to custody.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the first respondent has breached the conditions of this Court as the entire stolen articles were not produced before the Trial Court and hence, prayed to cancel the bail granted to the first respondent. He would further submit that this Court recorded that the stolen articles were recorded. However, they only recovered 6 grams of gold ornaments, even as per the FIR the stolen article is 7 ½ sovereigns. Hence, he prayed to cancel the bail granted to the first respondent.

3. The learned counsel for the first respondent would submit that the petitioner has not produced any documents to prove her



CRL MP(MD), No.8659 of 2026

WEB COPY

contention and the first respondent was not involved in any occurrence as alleged by her. Mere pleading without any documents is not a sufficient ground to cancel the bail granted to the first respondent. Therefore, he prayed to dismiss this petition.

4. Heard both sides and perused the records.

5. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on both sides and considering the facts that the petitioner has not produced any document to substantiate her contention, mere allegations are not sufficient to cancel the bail already granted to the accused and as far as recovery of jewels is concerned, the accused has no role in producing the jewels before the Court, this Court is of the view that there are no grounds to cancel the bail already granted to the accused.



CRL MP(MD), No.8659 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is dismissed.

22.04.2026

apd

TO

1. The Inspector of Police,
Kalakad Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL MP(MD), No.8659 of 2026

P. DHANABAL ,J
apd

ORDER
IN
CRL MP(MD) No.8659 of 2026

Date : 22/04/2026