



CRL OP(MD). No.7598 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20/04/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.7598 of 2026

1. Pakshi Raja,
S/o.Pitchaipillai,
No. 254/5, Krishna Nagar,
Annanji, Unjampatty,
Theni District.

2. Ajithkumar,
S/o.Suruli Andaver,
Mela Street,
Unjampatty,
Theni District.

... Petitioners/Accused No.2 & 5

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Civil Supplies CID,
Uthamapalayam,
Theni District.
Crime No.98 of 2026.

... Respondent/Complainant



CRL OP(MD). No.7598 of 2026

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.Lenin Kumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of
BNSS

PRAYER :-

C-33AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.98
of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused No.2 & 5, who
apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
for the offences punishable under Sections 6(4)
of the Tamil Nadu Schedules Commodities
(Regulation of Distribution by Card System)
order, 1982 r/w Section 7(1)(a)(ii) of Essential
Commodities Act, 1955, in Crime No.98 of 2026 on
the file of the respondent police, seek
anticipatory bail.



CRL OP(MD). No.7598 of 2026

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 10.04.2026, based on the secret information, the respondent police was on patrol duty near Theni-Periyakulam by-pass junction, Allinagaram Road, at that time, the respondent Police found that the petitioners and other accused persons had illegally transported 240 bags of Rice each weighing 50 kgs by using a lorry bearing Registration No.KL 39 G 5182. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that the seized rice was recovered from A1 only. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD). No.7598 of 2026

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the petitioners have no previous cases. He would further submit that the investigation is still pending and the offences are grave in nature. He would further submit that A1 and A2 are still in custody. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners and quantity of the materials involved in this case and also considering the fact that the petitioners have no previous cases and materials were already seized and the material part of the investigation might



CRL OP(MD). No.7598 of 2026

have been completed, and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police once in a week ie., on Every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.



CRL OP(MD). No.7598 of 2026

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No.7598 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter
WEB COPY absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered
under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
20.04.2026

VSG

TO

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Civil Supplies CID,
Uthamapalayam,
Theni District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.7598 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J. ,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.7598 of 2026

Date : 20/04/2026