



W.P.(MD) No.11893 of 2023

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 04.03.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR

W.P.(MD) No.11893 of 2023

and

W.M.P.(MD) Nos.10321, 10322 & 10323 of 2023

1.R.Rangan

2.P.Angel Deepa

3.M.Krishnaveni

4.K.Vimala

... Petitioners

-vs-

The Secretary
Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission
T.N.P.S.C.Road, Broadway
Park Town, Chennai-600 003

... Respondent

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of certiorarified mandamus calling for the entire records of the respondent pertaining to the TNPSC's instructions to applicants issued on 22.03.2022 in Serial No.17-B, sub clause (xiii) & (xiv) and Serial No.17-E(i), Sub Clause (d), (e), (g), (h), (I), (k) Serial No.17-D Sub Clause (x) declare as unconstitutional, consequently directing the respondent to publish petitioners results along with rank and provide counseling to petitioners based on the



W.P.(MD) No.11893 of 2023

marks secured in the written examination for the post Combined Civil Services Examination-IV (Group-IV) services for the year 2022 through a Notification No.07/2022, dated 30.03.2022, by considering the petitioner's email representation dated 27.03.2023 within the time stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Sakthi Rao

For Respondent : Mr.V.Panneer Selvam

ORDER

All the petitioners herein are the persons, who participated in the recruitment process undertaken by the respondent – Public Service Commission pursuant to Notification No.07/2022, dated 30.03.2022 relating to Group-IV Services for the year 2022 and also appeared for the written examination on 24.07.2022.

2. The results of the said written examinations were published on 24.03.2023. However, the OMR answer sheets of the petitioners herein were declared as “deemed not fit for valuation” and therefore, neither the rank of the petitioners nor marks obtained by them were published. At that stage, the petitioners made an attempt to approach the respondent – Public Service Commission to know as to why their results were not declared. As there was no response from the respondent – Public Service Commission, the petitioners



W.P.(MD) No.11893 of 2023

have approached this Court by filing the present writ petition contending that they are well-experienced in appearing for the examinations conducted by the respondent – Public Service Commission and therefore, there is no scope for them for committing any mistake in the OMR answer sheets or any other mistakes. But, their answer sheets were erroneously not valued by the respondent – Public Service Commission. For better appreciation, the relevant paragraphs with specific assertion averred in the affidavit filed in support of this writ petition in this regard are extracted hereunder:

7) I humbly submits that on 24.03.2023 I send the representation to the respondent regarding my results issues after 2 days late on 28.03.2023 TNPSC replied “see the TNPSC group-IV notification Para 14(e)”. I asked only reason for my results with held. I am not asking any other information. But still date there is no fruitful reply from TNPSC. This is not first exam to commit any mistake in OMR we are already wrote nearly 7 or 8 TNPSC exam through OMR methods.

8) I humbly submit there is two possibility to with held my exam results one is “Not qualify in the tamil eligible Test” another one is any mistake in the OMR sheet. But in my results didn't say any reason.

9) I humbly submits that I reasonably believe from my others friends there is some issue with OMR scanning machine and the same news was published in the media.



WEB COPY

W.P.(MD) No.11893 of 2023

TNPSC hired one new contractor for scanning OMR sheets and publish the results so there is a big scam in this issue. We are not committed any misbehave and mistake as mention in the TNPSC instructions. Once again I mentioned here this is not my first exam we already appeared many exams conducted by TNPSC. We have adequate experience and we also completed pre module test in same TNPSC OMR format conducted by coaching centers where we are studying. So we not commit any mistakes in OMR sheets. So we need to see our OMR sheets.”

3. Admittedly, all the petitioners herein have participated in the recruitment process pursuant to the Notification No.07/2022, dated 30.03.2022 and it is only after their OMR answer sheets were found as “deemed not fit for valuation” in terms of various Clauses contained in the said Notification, especially, Clauses 17-B, 17-D & 17-E, the petitioners have come forward to challenge the said Clauses and to declare them as unconstitutional.

4. By now, it is well settled that candidates who have subjected themselves to the recruitment process having been fully aware of the various terms and conditions imposed under the notification are not entitled to



W.P.(MD) No.11893 of 2023

challenge those terms and conditions after becoming unsuccessful in the recruitment process. For this reason alone, the challenge to various Clauses of the Notification No.07/2022, dated 30.03.2022, is liable to be rejected. Once the main relief sought in this writ petition is declined, the consequential relief would also bound to fail. Further, this Court already considered an identical case in W.P.(MD) No.7729 of 2023, wherein there were defects in the OMR answer sheet and dismissed the said writ petition today. In the light of the same and for the reasons stated herein above, this writ petition is also liable to be dismissed.

5. Accordingly, this Court does not find any merit in this writ petition and the same is, therefore, dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

04.03.2026

(3/3)

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

krk



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.11893 of 2023

MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR, J.

krk

W.P.(MD) No.11893 of 2023
and
W.M.P.(MD) Nos.10321, 10322 &
10323 of 2023

04.03.2026
(3/3)