



W.P.CrI..(MD).No.2211 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 20.04.2026

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN**

W.P.CrI.(MD).No.2211 of 2026

Asan Banu

Vs.

..... Petitioner

1.The Deputy Inspector General of Prison,
O/o. The Deputy Inspector General of Prison
and Correctional Services,
Madurai Range,
Madurai Central Prison Campus,
Madurai.

2.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Jail,
Madurai.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
O/o, the Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District.

4.The Inspector of Police,
O/o. The Inspector of Police,
Silaiman Police Station,
Madurai.

..... Respondents



W.P.CRL..(MD).No.2211 of 2026

WEB COPY

Prayer : Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records passed by the first respondent in No.1016/thaku.2/2026 dated 01.04.2026 and quash the same as bad in law and in the nature of writ directing the respondent Nos.1 and 2 to grant emergency leave for a period of six days to the petitioner's husband, namely, Devadoss @ Rasul Sulthan s/o. Ponniah, C.P.No.1800 (PID:511821).

For Petitioner : Ms.Dr.Lakshmi Prasanna Komara

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.]

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned proceedings of the first respondent made in No.1016/thaku.2/2026 dated 01.04.2026 and for a direction to the respondents to grant six days emergency leave to the petitioner's husband, who is presently serving sentence at Central Prison, Madurai.



W.P.CRL.(MD).No.2211 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. Heard the learned counsel on either side.

3. On carefully going through the order passed by the first respondent, it is seen that the first respondent on going through the representation found that the ground that has been raised does not fall within the parameters prescribed under the relevant Rules for grant of emergency leave. In view of the same, the order passed by the first respondent cannot be held to be illegal.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that presently there is an emergent ground available to the petitioner to seek for emergency leave.

5. In the light of the above submission, it is left open to the petitioner to make a fresh representation before the respondents by making out the ground for emergency leave and on receipt of such representation, the same will be considered on its own merits and in accordance with law.



W.P.CRI..(MD).No.2211 of 2026

6. This writ petition (Criminal) is disposed of in the above terms.

WEB COPY

[N.A.V., J.] & [K.K.R.K., J.]
20.04.2026

NCC : Yes / No

Index : Yes / No

TSG

Copy to

1.The Deputy Inspector General of Prison,
O/o. The Deputy Inspector General of Prison
and Correctional Services,
Madurai Range,
Madurai Central Prison Campus,
Madurai.

2.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Jail,
Madurai.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
O/o, the Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District.

4.The Inspector of Police,
O/o. The Inspector of Police,
Silaiman Police Station,
Madurai.

5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.(MD).No.2211 of 2026

N.ANAND VENKATESH , J.
AND
K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN, J.

TSG

W.P.Crl.(MD).No.2211 of 2026

20.04.2026