



W.P.(MD)No.11915 of 2025

WEB COPY

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

This matter is listed under the caption 'for being mentioned' today at the instance of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that in paragraph no.6 of the order dated 20.01.2026 in W.P.(MD)No.11915 of 2025, this Court directed the respondents 2 and 3 to issue due notice to the petitioner, measure the petitioner's property with the help of the officials and thereafter, re-determine the value of the property and then based on the same, quantify the tax for the petitioner's property. According to the learned counsel, a direction to the respondents 2 and 3 to hear the petitioner before re-determination of value of the property was not issued.

3. However, this Court is of the view that once a direction is issued to re-determine the value of property, such re-determination of value can only be carried out after issuing due notice to the necessary parties, including the petitioner and also hearing them.



WEB COPY

4. Accordingly, the order dated 20.01.2026 in W.P.(MD)No.11915 of 2025 is clarified.

mbi

09.02.2026



WEB COPY



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

mbi

W.P.(MD)No.11915 of 2025

09.02.2026