



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7415 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 7415 of 2026

Balamurugan

... Petitioner

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Kadayanallur Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(in Crime No.89 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Sathiyachidambaram
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No.89 of 2026 on the file of the respondent.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 25.03.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 3(5) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.89 of 2026, on the file of the respondent, seeks bail.



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7415 of 2026

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 17.01.2026, at about 08.30 p.m., the A1 along with four others approached the defacto complainant and requested his Innova Car on a rental basis for five days to travel to Kerala. Thereafter, they removed the GPS devise from the said car. When the defacto complainant attempted to contact A2, there was no response. Subsequently, he came to know that he pledged the said vehicle to the petitioner for a sum of Rs. 2,50,000/-. When the defacto complainant approached this petitioner to return the vehicle, he refused to do so and also threatened him. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged offence, and he has been falsely implicated in this case. No such occurrence took place as alleged in the FIR. It is a concocted story. Hence, he seeks bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor, submitted that the offence is grave in nature. The petitioner has paid money to the accused 1 to 3 for the pledged car. Apart from that the statement of the petitioner was also recorded. He opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner. The petitioner has no previous case.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7415 of 2026

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

9. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of offence, according to the prosecution, the petitioner has paid money to the accused 1 to 3 for the pledged cars, apart from that the statement of the petitioner was already recorded by the investigating agency, the petitioner has no previous case and also considering the period of incarceration of the petitioner from 25.03.2026, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tenkasi and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of thirty days, thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7415 of 2026

commission of which he/she is suspected;

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 2660].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
16.04.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Tenkasi.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Kadayanallur Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

4/6



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7415 of 2026

3.The Superintendent, Central Prison, Palayamkottai.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7415 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 7415 of 2026

Date : 16.04.2026