



CRL OP(MD). No.7333 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 16/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.7333 of 2026

Selvam

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep By,
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruchuli Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.108 of 2026).

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.108 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent Police.

For Petitioner : A.Balaji,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD), No.7333 of 2026

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023, (Corresponding Section 294(b), 324 and 506(i) of IPC), in Crime No.108 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is the son-in-law of the defacto complainant. Due to difference of opinion, the daughter of the complainant left the matrimonial home and now living along with her parent. On 05.03.2026 at about 01.00 pm, in a drunken mode, the petitioner is said to have went to the house of the complainant and picked up quarrel with the family members of the complainant. When the same was questioned, the petitioner attacked the complainant and his son with an aruval and threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the



CRL OP(MD), No.7333 of 2026

petitioner is an innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that it is a matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and the daughter of the complainant and the injured were discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that there is a matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and the daughter of the complainant. Hence, the petitioner is said to have went to the house of the complainant and picked up quarrel with him and attacked the complainant and his son with an aruval and threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. However, he fairly conceded that the injured were discharge from the hospital and the petitioner has no previous case.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD), No.7333 of 2026

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and the relationship between the parties and there is a family dispute between them and the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Tiruchuli, Virudhunagar District,** and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.7333 of 2026

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

16.04.2026

dss



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.7333 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Tiruchuli, Virudhunagar District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruchuli Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7333 of 2026

Date : 16/04/2026