



Cont P(MD)No.1417 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 15.06.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

AND

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R.POORNIMA

Cont P(MD)No.1417 of 2026

in

W.A(MD)No.960 of 2019

The Correspondent,
C.M.S.Higher Secondary School,
Srivilliputtur – 626 125,
Virudhunagar District.


... Petitioner /
Petitioner

Vs.

1.Aravindan,
Chief Educational Officer,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.

Then
Mathankumar,
Chief Educational Officer,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.

2.S.Indra Gandhi,
District Educational Officer (Secondary),
Sivakasi,
Virudhunagar District.



Cont P(MD)No.1417 of 2026

Then
Thiruvilliputhur,
Virudhunagar District.

... Contemnors /
Appellants 4 & 5

Prayer: Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of Contempts of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the respondents herein for their deliberate and willful disobedience of the order of this Court dated 09.06.2025 in Writ Appeal (MD) No.960 of 2019 under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Ragatheesh Kumar

For Respondents : Mr.M.P.Senthil
Government Advocate

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by G.R.Swaminathan J.)

The Hon'ble Division Bench vide order dated 09.06.2025 gave the following directions:

“17.In respect of individual cases falling within the ambit of the principles considered by this Court in the aforementioned paragraphs, those individuals if they are otherwise eligible and falling within the eligible category, then they are at liberty to approach the authorities. In all other cases where appointments are made to the Vocational Instructors post after issuance of G.O.Ms.No.9, School Education (VE) Department, dated



WEB COPY



Cont P(MD)No.1417 of 2026

06.01.2009, approval of appointments cannot be claimed as a matter of right.

18.It is made clear that if those Vocational Instructors appointed prior to G.O.Ms.No.9, School Education (VE) Department, dated 06.01.2009 are qualified, appointed in the sanctioned post and eligible as per Rules, their proposals are to be considered in accordance with Act and Rules.”

2.The petitioner herein was appointed on 12.07.2006. Pursuant to the aforesaid directions, the Chief Educational Officer, Virudhunagar had issued proceedings dated 30.10.2025. The petitioner's request has been negatived.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the said order has been erroneously passed.

4.We are not in a position to go into the merits of the matter. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the aforesaid proceedings, it is open to the petitioner to challenge the same in the manner known to law.



Cont P(MD)No.1417 of 2026

5.This Contempt Petition stands closed.

WEB COPY

[G.R.S., J.] [R.P, J.]
15.06.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
MGA

To

- 1.The Chief Educational Officer,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.
- 2.The District Educational Officer (Secondary),
Sivakasi,
Virudhunagar District.
- 3.The District Educational Officer (Secondary),
Thiruvilliputhur,
Virudhunagar District.



WEB COPY



Cont P(MD)No.1417 of 2026

G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.

AND

R.POORNIMA, J.

MGA

Cont P(MD)No.1417 of 2026

in

W.A(MD)No.960 of 2019

15.06.2026