



CRL OP(MD). No. 7354 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP (MD) . No. 7354 of 2026

Vinoth Kumar ...Petitioner/Accused No.3

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.135 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner:Mr.R.Aravindraaj
For Respondent:Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No.135 of 2026 on the file of
the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 7354 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY The petitioner/A3, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 18.03.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 127(2), 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) and 109(1) of BNS, 2023 @ Sections 109(1), 118(1), 127(2), 191(2), 191(3), 296(b) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.135 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant murdered one Vivek, who is none other than the brother of A1. In order to retaliate, A1 murdered one Keeri Sundaram. The defacto complainant stayed away at Kodaikanal and thereafter, on 16.03.2026, he came to his native village, on knowing the same, the petitioner along with other accused persons attacked the defacto complainant with Aruval and he sustained head injuries. Hence, the complaint.



CRL OP(MD). No. 7354 of 2026

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 18.03.2026. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent Police would submit that investigation is still pending and the offences are grave in nature. He would further submit that the petitioner has 8 previous cases and the injured person has been discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently opposed to grant of bail.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD). No. 7354 of 2026

WEB COPY 6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and considering the fact that there is a dispute between the parties with regard to the murder that took place and though the petitioner has eight previous cases, the same are not similar kind of offences and in all cases, he was granted bail and also considering the fact that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner from 18.03.2026, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial



CRL OP(MD). No. 7354 of 2026

WEB COPY

Magistrate Court, Melur, Madurai District,

and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, Vadipatti Police Station, Madurai District, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid



CRL OP(MD). No. 7354 of 2026

WEB COPY

conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)

16.04.2026

vsg



CRL OP(MD). No. 7354 of 2026

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court, Melur,
Madurai District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Vadipatti Police Station,
Madurai District.
- 4.The Superintendent, Central Prison,
Madurai District.
- 5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 7354 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 7354 of 2026

Date : 16.04.2026