



CRL OP(MD). No.7311 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated : 16/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.7311 of 2026

Ranjith

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Puliyampatti Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
Crime No. 54 of 2026.

... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 54 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Veeravelpandi M,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD), No.7311 of 2026

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 132 and 324(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.54 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 21.02.2026, the petitioner and other accused are said to have abused the complainant in filthy language and prevented him from discharging his duty and also committed mischief. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has no way connected in the above said incident. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that the petitioner and other accused are said to have prevented the defacto complainant, who is a public servant from discharging his duty and the petitioner is having 10 previous cases. Hence, he opposes to



grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and there is a delay in lodging the complaint and though the petitioner has previous cases, all are not similar kind of offences and in that cases, he was released on bail and anticipatory bail, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Ottapidaram**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.



CRL OP(MD), No.7311 of 2026

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

16.04.2026

dss



CRL OP(MD), No.7311 of 2026

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Ottapidaram.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Puliyampatti Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

P. DHANABAL,J

dss



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.7311 of 2026

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7311 of 2026

Date : 16/04/2026