



CRL OP(MD). No.7217 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 04/06/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.7217 of 2026

Latha

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Trichy.

(In Crime No. 9 of 2026). ... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 9 of 2026 on the file of
the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : N.Ananda Kumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the



CRL OP(MD), No.7217 of 2026

respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 318(2) and 351(2) of BNS Act, 2023, in Crime No.9 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused persons cheated a sum of Rs.70 lakhs and 15 sovereigns of gold jewels from the defacto complainant on the pretext of securing a job in a bank and doing rituals for removing obstruction in the marriage of the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and she was falsely implicated in this case and he has no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that already the defacto complainant lodged a complainant before the K.K.Nagar Police Station, Trichy, for the very same cause of action and the same was enquired and closed and the defacto complainant has no source for giving such a huge amount since he is working as a machine operator in the private company at Chennai. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.




CRL OP(MD), No.7217 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that the accused persons cheated a sum of Rs.70 lakhs and 15 sovereigns of gold jewels from the defacto complainant on the pretext of securing a job in a bank and doing rituals for removing obstruction in the marriage and the investigation is still pending and the petitioner has 2 previous cases. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He would further submit that already the defacto complainant lodged a complainant before the K.K.Nagar Police Station, Trichy, for the very same cause of action and the same was enquired and closed.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and there is a money transaction between the parties and already the defacto complainant lodged a complainant before the K.K.Nagar Police Station, Trichy and the same was enquired and closed and considering the huge amount involved in this case and there is no scope for arrangement of such a



CRL OP(MD), No.7217 of 2026

huge amount as alleged by the petitioner and though the petitioner has 2 previous cases, in those cases, she was released on bail, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Trichy**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with



CRL OP(MD), No.7217 of 2026

WEB COPY

law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

04.06.2026

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Trichy.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch, Trichy.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.7217 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

dss

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7217 of 2026

Date : 04/06/2026