



CRL OP(MD). No.7184 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 10/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.7184 of 2026

1. Selvi

2. Aasaithangal

... Petitioners/ Accused No.1&2

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Vengamedu Police Station,
Karur District.
Cr. No. 85 of 2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No. 85 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Veldurai .T,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottaichamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD), No.7184 of 2026

The petitioners / Accused, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.03.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 4(1)(c) and 4(1)(A) of TN Prohibition Act, in Crime No.85 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 19.03.2026, the petitioners were found in illegal possession of 60 numbers of 180 ml brandy bottles. Hence the respondent police registered a case against the petitioners for the aforesaid offences and hence, they arrested the petitioners. Hence, this petition.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that they have been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.03.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the



CRL OP(MD), No.7184 of 2026

respondent would submit that the petitioners were found in illegal possession of 60 numbers of 180 ml brandy bottles. He would further submit that each petitioner has more than 70 previous cases and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioners. However, he fairly conceded that in that cases, some of the cases were disposed of and in the remaining cases, they were released on bail and anticipatory bail.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and the quantity involved in this case and though the prosecution stated that the petitioners have more than 70 previous cases, among them, some of the cases were disposed of and in the remaining cases, they were released on bail and anticipatory bail and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:



WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Karur**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the **respondent police every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of 4 weeks** and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which they are accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which they are suspected;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;



CRL OP(MD), No.7184 of 2026

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
10.04.2026

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Karur,
- 2The Inspector of Police,
Vengamedu Police Station,
Karur District.
3. The Superintendent, Central women Prison, Trichy.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.7184 of 2026

P. DHANABAL

dss

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7184 of 2026

Date : 10/04/2026