



CRL OP(MD). No.7189 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 10/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.7189 of 2026

Ranjith Kumar

... Petitioner/ Accused No.4

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Nanguneri Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
Crime No.23 of 2026.

... Respondent/ Complainant

PRAYER :-


For Bail in Crime No.23 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Veerapaniselvaraj
for Mr.K.K. Samy,

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottaichamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to



CRL OP(MD), No.7189 of 2026

judicial custody on 09.01.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 103 of BNS @ 103(1), 189(2), 296(b), 3(5) & 61(2) of BNS equivalent provisions are 302, 142, 294(b), 34 and 120(b) of IPC, in Crime No.23 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the complainant is the brother-in-law of the deceased. On 05.01.2026, the petitioner and other accused are said to have attacked the deceased and caused death to him. Hence, the respondent police registered a case against the accused for the aforesaid offences and arrested the petitioner and other accused. Hence, this petition.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the co-accused were already released on bail and he has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.01.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the death was caused to the deceased due



CRL OP(MD), No.7189 of 2026

to the attack made by the accused and the petitioner is having 32 previous cases and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner. However, he fairly conceded that 19 cases were ended in acquittal and in the remaining cases, he was released on bail and anticipatory bail and the co-accused were already released on bail. Apart from that, investigation was completed and charge sheet was also filed before the concerned Court.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and the investigation was completed and charge sheet was also filed before the concerned Court and the co-accused were released on bail by this Court and though the prosecution stated that the petitioner has 32 previous cases, among them, 19 cases were ended in acquittal and in the remaining cases, he was granted bail and anticipatory bail, and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant



CRL OP(MD), No.7189 of 2026

bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate, Nanguneri**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the jurisdictional Magistrate daily **at 10.30 a.m., in all working days until further orders.**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;



CRL OP(MD), No.7189 of 2026

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
10.04.2026

dss



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.7189 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

DSS

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Nanguneri.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Nanguneri Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
3. The Superintendent, Central jail,
Palayamkottai.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.7189 of 2026

Date : 10/04/2026