



Crl.R.C.(MD)No.649 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : **29.04.2026**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MRS.JUSTICE L.VICTORIA GOWRI**

Crl.R.C.(MD)No.649 of 2026

Suresh

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. through the Inspector of Police,
Masarpatti Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
Crime No.223 of 2025

... Respondents

PRAYER : Revision filed under Section 438 r/w 442 of BNSS, 2023 to call for the records relating to the order of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Vilathikulam in Crl.M.P.No.10 of 2026 dated 04.02.2026 and set aside the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondent to return the petitioner's Splendor Plus +135 Two Wheeler vehicle bearing Registration No.TN 58 BM 3582.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Manivannan

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



Crl.R.C.(MD)No.649 of 2026

ORDER

This Criminal Revision Petition is filed to set aside the order of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Vilathikulam, in Crl.M.P.No. 10 of 2026 dated 04.02.2026 filed by the petitioner under Section 497 of BNSS of 2023.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the respondent filed an FIR in Crime No.223 of 2025 for an alleged offence under Sections 296(b), 132 & 351(3) of BNS, 2023, and Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(A) and 25 of the Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, for illegal transport of tobacco through Splendor Plus +135 Two Wheeler bearing registration No.TN 58 BM 3582. Subsequently, the petitioner's vehicle was seized. Thereafter, the petitioner filed Crl.M.P.No.10 of 2026 for the return of vehicle before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Vilathikulam, and the same was dismissed on 04.02.2026.

3. The petitioner preferred this Criminal Revision Petition as against the order dated 04.02.2026 made in Crl.M.P.No. 10 of 2026 on the file of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Vilathikulam, and to set aside the same.



CrI.R.C.(MD)No.649 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. Heard the learned counsels on either side and carefully perused the materials available on record.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent submitted that if the vehicle is returned to the petitioner, there is possibility for the vehicle being used for the commission of similar offence and objected to grant interim custody of the vehicle to the petitioner.

6. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the vehicle bearing Registration No. TN 58 BM 3582 is owned by the petitioner and he was not involved in any such offence as alleged by the respondent and if the vehicle is kept in open place, the vehicle will get deteriorated and the value of the vehicle would automatically stand diminished and therefore interim custody of the vehicle may be granted to the petitioner.

7. Considering the fact that if the vehicle is kept in open place exposing to sun and rain, the value of the vehicle will be deteriorated and that no purpose will be served in keeping the vehicle in custody, this Court is inclined to allow the revision thereby, setting aside the impugned order dated 04.02.2026 passed



CrI.R.C.(MD)No.649 of 2026

in CrI.M.P.No.10 of 2026 by the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Vilathikulam.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is allowed and the order dated 04.02.2026, passed in CrI.M.P.No. 10 of 2026 by the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Vilathikulam, is hereby set aside and the vehicle viz., Splendor Plus +135 Two Wheeler bearing Registration No.TN 58 BM 3582, is ordered to be returned to the petitioner for interim custody subject to the confiscation proceedings to be taken by the concerned Department or by the Court on the following conditions:-

(a) The petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only), with two sureties for a likesum to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Vilathikulam;

(b) The petitioner shall produce the original RC Book of the vehicle before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Vilathikulam. If the original RC Book is in the custody of the finance company, the petitioner shall produce a photocopy of the RC Book, along with an affidavit stating that the original is with the finance company and a letter from the finance company acknowledging such custody.

(c) The petitioner shall give an undertaking before the respondent/



CrI.R.C.(MD)No.649 of 2026

authority concerned stating that he will not use the vehicle in question for any illegal activities in future, failing which the respondent/trial Court is at liberty to confiscate the vehicle;

(d) The petitioner shall not alienate and shall not make any alteration in the vehicle;

(e) The petitioner shall produce the vehicle before the Trial Court once in a month i.e, on 1st Monday of every English calendar month.

29.04.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Sm



CrI.R.C.(MD)No.649 of 2026

L.VICTORIA GOWRI, J.

Sm

TO:-

1. The Inspector of Police,
Masarpatti Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
- 2.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Vilathikulam.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Order made in
CrI.R.C.(MD)No.649 of 2026

Dated
29.04.2026