



CRL OP(MD). No.7180 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 10/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.7180 of 2026

Raman

... Petitioner/ Accused No.5

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Thanjavur Taluk,
Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
Crime No.89/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No.89/2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : S.Veerapandiselvaraj
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottaichamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to



CRL OP(MD), No.7180 of 2026

judicial custody on 15.03.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 126(2), 191(2), 191(3), 296(b) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.89 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous motive, on 13.03.2026, at about 10.00 a.m, the petitioner and the other accused are said to have attacked the son of the complainant with a sword and an aruval and caused grievous injuries. Hence, the respondent police registered a case against the accused for the aforesaid offences and arrested the petitioner and the other accused. Hence, this petition.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner's name was not found in the FIR and there is no specific overt act as against the petitioner and he has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.03.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner and the other accused are




CRL OP(MD), No.7180 of 2026

said to have attacked the son of the complainant with a sword and an aruval and caused grievous injuries and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner. However, he fairly conceded that no previous case is pending against the petitioner and the injured was discharged from the hospital.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and the petitioner's name was not found in the FIR and there is no specific overt act against the petitioner and no previous case is against the petitioner and the injured was discharged from the hospital and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of



CRL OP(MD), No.7180 of 2026

WEB COPY

Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate No.2, Thanjavur** and on further conditions that:


[b] the petitioner shall report before the **respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner



CRL OP(MD), No.7180 of 2026

WEB COPY

released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself
as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs.
State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be
registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
10.04.2026

dss

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.2,
Thanjavur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thanjavur Taluk,
Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
3. The Superintendent, Sub Jail, Thanjavur.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.7180 of 2026

P. DHANABAL,J

DSS

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7180 of 2026

Date : 10/04/2026