



W.P.CRL.(MD).No.2078 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 30.04.2026

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN**

W.P.Crl.(MD).No.2078 of 2026

Shanthi

Vs.

..... Petitioner

- 1.The State of Tamilnadu,
The Deputy Inspector General of Prisons,
Prisons and Correctional Services Department,
Trichy Range, Trichy.
- 2.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Trichy.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
Dindigul Town Police Station,
Dindigul District.
Crime No. 483/2011.

..... Respondents

Prayer : Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to grant Ordinary Leave or Emergency Leave to release the petitioner's husband namely Chinnamuthu, S/o.Mookan, (TNIP No.242538) on ordinary



W.P.CRL.(MD).No.2078 of 2026

leave/emergency leave for 15 days, for arranging admission of the petitioner's sons, by considering the petitioner's representation dated 25.03.2026.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Prabha

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.]

This Writ Petition has been filed for issue of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the representation made by the petitioner dated 25.03.2026, wherein the petitioner has sought ordinary leave for her husband, who is serving sentence at Central Prison, Trichy.

2. When the matter was taken up for hearing today, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor produced a copy of the proceedings dated 29.04.2026 issued by the first respondent. It is seen that the representation has been rejected on the ground that the convict has not satisfied the minimum period required for granting ordinary leave. The learned



W.P.CRL.(MD).No.2078 of 2026

Additional Public Prosecutor, on instructions, submitted that the convict has undergone sentence for 2 years, 3 months, and 24 days. Therefore, he is not entitled for grant of ordinary leave.

3. We do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned proceedings of the first respondent and the same is perfectly in line with the Tamil Nadu Suspension of Sentence Rules, 1982. In view of the same, it will be left open to the petitioner to submit a fresh representation seeking for emergency leave if a need so arises. If any emergency leave is sought for by the petitioner, the same will be considered by the first respondent on its own merits and in accordance with law.

4. This Writ Petition is disposed of in the above terms. No costs.

[N.A.V., J.] & [K.K.R.K., J.]
30.04.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No

Indu



WEB COPY



W.P.CRL.(MD).No.2078 of 2026

To

- 1.The Deputy Inspector General of Prisons,
Prisons and Correctional Services Department,
Trichy Range, Trichy.
- 2.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Trichy.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
Dindigul Town Police Station,
Dindigul District.
- 5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.CRL.(MD).No.2078 of 2026

N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.
AND
K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN, J.

Indu

W.P.Crl.(MD).No.2078 of 2026

30.04.2026