



CRL OP(MD). No. 7086 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 7086 of 2026

1.Tharik Anwar Ul Huq
2.Neelu

...Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station
Madurai City
Madurai District.
(Crime No. 4 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Bageerathan
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.R.Venkatesan

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-



CRL OP(MD). No. 7086 of 2026

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 4 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A) of IPC and Section 4 of the TNPHW (Amendment) Act, 2002, in Crime No. 4 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the first petitioner is the husband of the defacto complainant and the second petitioner is his family member. The petitioners and other accused, from the time of marriage, demanded more money and jewels from the defacto complainant and also tortured her. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. They have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that co-accused was granted bail. Hence, he prayed to grant



CRL OP(MD). No. 7086 of 2026

anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned counsel for the intervenor would submit that the petitioners and other accused were harrassing the defacto complainant and demanded dowry and the investigation is in initial stage. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A) of IPC and Section 4 of the TNPHW (Amendment) Act, 2002, in Crime No. 4 of 2026. He further submits that there is a matrimonial dispute between the parties and the petitioners have no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is in initial stage and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD). No. 7086 of 2026

7. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioners, and considering the facts that the relationship between the parties and also considering the fact that there is a matrimonial dispute between the parties, co-accused was granted bail and no previous case is pending against the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate-IV, Madurai, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of



CRL OP(MD). No. 7086 of 2026

WEB COPY

similar nature.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
10.04.2026

apd



CRL OP(MD). No. 7086 of 2026

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate-IV, Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Madurai City
Madurai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 7086 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 7086 of 2026

Date : 10.04.2026