



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7225 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 15.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 7225 of 2026

Vinayaga Moorthy @ Vinayagamurthi
Vs

... Petitioner

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Jambunathapuram Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.31 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Prakash
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 31 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 85, 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.31 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7225 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous dispute, the petitioner picked up quarrel with the defacto complainant, abused them and also assaulted them. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Due to previous dispute, the petitioner was falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) fairly submits that the offences are grave in nature. The petitioner the defacto complainant are husband and wife. Due to family dispute, the petitioner along with other accused persons attacked the defacto complainant. Hence, he vehemently opposed the granting of bail to the petitioner. Investigation is still pending. Injured in this case has been discharged from the hospital. The petitioner has no previous case.



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7225 of 2026

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness
either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned
Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action
against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have
been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned
Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme
Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be
registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
15.04.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Musiri.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Jambunathapuram Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.31 of 2026)
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7225 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 7225 of 2026

Date : 15.04.2026