



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 15.04.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

AND

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN

H.C.P.(MD) No.488 of 2026

Umarani

.. Petitioner / Mother of the detenu

Vs.

1.The State of Tamilnadu,
Represented by the Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District.

2.State of Tamilnadu,
Represented by the Inspector of Police,
Sivakasi Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.

3.Karthikeyan

.. Respondents

Prayer :Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 herein to produce the body or person of the petitioner's daughter namely Dhivya Dharini Sri, D/o.Sanjeevi Chandran, aged about 33 years, the detenu herein before this Court and set her at liberty.



For Petitioner : Mr.V.B.Sundhareshwar

For Respondents : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by N.ANAND VENKATESH,J.)

This petition has been filed for a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 to produce the body or person of the petitioner's daughter, who is said to be under the illegal custody of the 3rd respondent and to set her at liberty.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondents 1 and 2.

3. The case of the petitioner is that her daughter is a Doctor by profession and she was working at Chennai. All of a sudden, she went missing and her whereabouts were not known and the petitioner was not able to establish any communication with the daughter. Hence, an online complaint was given before the first respondent, which was forwarded to the



second respondent. Since no steps were taken, the present petition has been filed before this Court.

4. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that based on the online complaint, contact was established with the detinue, who is living in Chennai. She informed the second respondent that she had a misunderstanding with her mother and brother and therefore, she has left the home five years back. She further stated that she does not want to keep in touch with the petitioner. The written instructions from the second respondent were placed before this Court.

5. In the light of the above written instructions produced before this Court, it is seen that the detinue is not in the illegal custody of the 3rd respondent as is alleged by the petitioner. Hence, no further orders can be passed in this petition. Accordingly, this Habeas Corpus Petition is disposed of.

(N.A.V.,J..) (K.K.R.K.,J.)
15.04.2026

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Indu



To

WEB COPY

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Sivakasi Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



**N. ANAND VENKATESH,J.
AND
K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN,J.**

Indu

H.C.P.(MD)No.488 of 2026

15.04.2026