



CRL OP(MD). No. 6945 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6945 of 2026

Akash

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Annamalai Nagar Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
(Crime No. 69 of 2025)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Nataraj
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Government Pleader

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 69 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6945 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 19.04.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(C) of NDPS, Act, 1985 in Crime No. 69 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that based on the secret information, on 19.04.2025, when the respondent police were in patrol duty, they found that the petitioner and other accused were in illegal joint possession of 21.100 kgs of ganja. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that based on the confession of co-accused only, the petitioner has been arrayed as accused and no contraband was recovered from this petitioner and the petitioner is an unnamed accused. He would further submit that he has been arrested and remanded to



CRL OP(MD). No. 6945 of 2026

judicial custody on 19.04.2025. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner and other accused were in illegal joint possession of 21.100 kgs of ganja, due to which the case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii) (C) of NDPS, Act, 1985 in Crime No. 69 of 2025. He would further submit that the petitioner has four previous cases, out of which three cases were ended in acquittal and remaining one case is pertaining to intermediate quantity. He would further submit that after investigation, charge sheet was filed and the same is taken on file in C.C.No.185 of 2025 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Special Court under EC Act cases, Thanjavur and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6945 of 2026

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and considering the facts that based on the confession of co-accused only, the petitioner has been arrayed as accused and no contraband was recovered from this petitioner and the petitioner is an unnamed accused and after investigation, charge sheet was filed and the same is taken on file in C.C.No.185 of 2025 on the file of the Additional District Judge, Special Court under EC Act cases, Thanjavur and though the petitioner has four previous cases, in those cases, three cases were ended in acquittal and remaining one case is pertaining to intermediate quantity and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Additional District Judge, Special Court under EC Act cases, Thanjavur, and on further conditions that:



CRL OP(MD). No. 6945 of 2026

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioner shall report before the Additional District Judge, Special Court under EC Act cases, Thanjavur, daily at 10.30 a.m. and 5.00 p.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself



CRL OP(MD). No. 6945 of 2026

as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs.

WEB COPY

State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can
be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
20.04.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Additional District Judge,
Special Court under EC Act cases,
Thanjavur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Annamalai Nagar Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
3. The Superintendent, Central Jail, Trichy.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 6945 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 6945 of 2026

Date : 20.04.2026