



CRL OP(MD). No. 6949 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP (MD) . No. 6949 of 2026

Veerapandiyan ...Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Periyakulam, Theni District.
(Crime No.41 of 2025)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner:Mr.S.Sathyachidambaram
For Respondent:Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 41 of 2025 on the file of
the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6949 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/Sole accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.02.2026 for the offences punishable under Section 67 of Information Technology Act, 2000 and Section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.41 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the wife of the petitioner. On 16.12.2025, at about 11.45 hours the petitioner with an intention to defame the defacto complainant, shared their private and intimate videos with her relatives and also circulated the same on various social media platforms. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent



CRL OP(MD). No. 6949 of 2026

and due to matrimonial dispute, he was falsely implicated in this case. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.02.2026. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the investigation has been completed and charge sheet is filed. He would further submit that the petitioner has no previous cases. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and considering the fact that there is a



CRL OP(MD). No. 6949 of 2026

matrimonial dispute between the parties and no previous cases are pending against the petitioner and the investigation has been completed and final report has been filed and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Periyakulam, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the Judicial Magistrate Court, Periyakulam, on all working days at 10.30 a.m., for 30 days and thereafter, as and



CRL OP(MD). No. 6949 of 2026

WEB COPY

**when required for interrogation before the
respondent Police.**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the



CRL OP(MD). No. 6949 of 2026

WEB COPY

conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)

09.04.2026

vsg



CRL OP(MD). No. 6949 of 2026

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court, Periyakulam.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Periyakulam, Theni District.
- 3.The Officer-in-Charge, Sub Jail, Periyakulam.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 6949 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 6949 of 2026

Date : 09.04.2026