



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7032 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 7032 of 2026

R.Vinith

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
NIB CID,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.7 of 2022)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Mani Maran
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 7 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.03.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w. 22(c), 23(c), 25, 29(1) of NDPS Act, in Crime No.7 of 2022 on the file of the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7032 of 2026

respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 20.02.2022 at about 21.00 hours, on secret information from informer, the Inspector of Police, Q Branch, and other police officials attached with the respondent police went on surveillance, at that time they stopped the boat and seized 10 kgs. of crystal methamphetamine. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Only based on the confession statement made by the co-accused, the petitioner was implicated in this case. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the offence are grave in nature. On the basis of the confession statement of the co-accused the petitioner was implicated in this case. After completion of investigation absconding charge sheet was filed against the petitioner before the concerned Court and the same is pending in C.C.No.710 of 2022 and the case is split up against the petitioner in C.C.No.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7032 of 2026

988 of 2023. In respect of the co-accused A1 to A8 trial were conducted and they are convicted by the trial Court. Thereafter, NBW was issued against the petitioner by the trial Court on 10.03.2026. On execution of NBW he was arrested. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner. However, he submitted that there is no previous case against the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of charges levelled against the petitioner, already investigation has been completed, absconding charge sheet was filed as against the petitioner, this petitioner was arrayed as only based on the confession statement of the co-accused, no contraband was recovered from this petitioner, already entire contraband was recovered from other accused, due to non appearance NBW was issued by the trial Court and the same was executed by the respondent police, and that no previous case is pending against the petitioner, and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7032 of 2026

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Additional District Judge, Principal Special Court for Trial of Narcotic Drugs and Pshychotropic Substances Act Cases, Madurai**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court namely **Additional District Judge, Principal Special Court for Trial of Narcotic Drugs and Pshychotropic Substances Act Cases, Madurai, at 10.30 a.m., on all working days, until further orders.**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7032 of 2026

Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
21.04.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Additional District Judge, Principal Special Court for Trial of Narcotic Drugs and Pshychotropic Substances Act Cases, Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
NIB CID,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.7 of 2022)
- 3.The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7032 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 7032 of 2026

Date : 21.04.2026