



CRL OP(MD). No. 6947 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6947 of 2026

Manoranjith ...Petitioner/Accused No.4

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Tenkasi Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
(Crime No.106 of 2026) ...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner:Mr.S.Maya Perumal
For Respondent:Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 106 of 2026 on the file of
the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6947 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY The petitioner / A4, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 18.02.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(B), 29(1) and 25 of NDPS Act, 1985, in Crime No.106 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 18.02.2026, the defacto complainant, who is working as Sub Inspector of Police, who attached with the respondent Police station, received secret information about the transporting of Ganja in the vehicel. Thereafter, the Police Officials went to the Tenkasi-Panpozhi Main road, where they conducted the vehicle check up near the workshop at that time, the petitioner and other accused persons were in illegal possession of 11 kgs of ganja in their vehicles. Hence the case.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6947 of 2026

WEB COPY 3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner is an innocent and he is nothing to do with the alleged offences. He would further contend that the contraband involved in this case is not a commercial quantity. He would further contend that the co-accused was released on bail. He is in judicial custody from 18.02.2026. Therefore prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the accused was found in illegal possession of 2.200 kgs of Ganja. He would further submit that the investigation is completed. He would further submit that no previous case is pending against the petitioner. However, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6947 of 2026

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence and the contraband involved is not a commercial quantity and the petitioner has no previous cases and considering the fact that the investigation is completed and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Principal



CRL OP(MD). No. 6947 of 2026

WEB COPY

Special Court for Trial of Narcotic Drugs
and Psychotropic Substances Act Cases,
Madurai, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before
the learned Principal Special Court for
Trial of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic
Substances Act Cases, Madurai, on all
working days at 10.30 a.m., until further
orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit
any offence similar to the offence of
which she is accused, or suspected, or of
the commission of which she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond
either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly
or indirectly make any inducement, threat
or promise to any person acquainted with
the facts of the case so as to dissuade
her from disclosing such facts to the



CRL OP(MD). No. 6947 of 2026

WEB COPY

Court or to any police officer or tamper
with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)

09.04.2026

vsg



CRL OP(MD). No. 6947 of 2026

To
WEB COPY

1.The learned Principal Special Court for Trial of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act Cases, Madurai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Tenkasi Police Station,
Tenkasi District. ..

3.The Superintendent, Central Prison,
Palayamkottai.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 6947 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 6947 of 2026

Date : 09.04.2026