



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 6847 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6847 of 2026

Balamurugan

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Abiramam Police Station
Ramanathapuram.
(Crime No. 58 of 2026)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Rajiv Gandhi
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 58 of 2026 on the file of the
respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 6847 of 2026

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 326(f) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 58 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 29.03.2026, at about 2.00 a.m., the accused person burned the vaikol placed in the agricultural land of the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 326(f) of



CRL OP(MD). No. 6847 of 2026

BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 58 of 2026. He would further submit that the petitioner has no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that the petitioner has no previous case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi, Ramanathapuram, and on further conditions that:



CRL OP(MD). No. 6847 of 2026

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent

police, daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
30.04.2026

apd

4/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 6847 of 2026

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi, Ramanathapuram.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Abiramam Police Station
Ramanathapuram.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 6847 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 6847 of 2026

Date : 30.04.2026