



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7001 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 7001 of 2026

Prabhakaran

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
AWPS, Karur
Karur District.
(Crime No.42 of 2025)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Imran Khan
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 42 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 318(2), 82, 351(2) and 85 of BNS, in Crime No.42 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7001 of 2026

anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner stating that he is divorcee, cheated the defacto complainant and they fell in love, she also become pregnant, thereafter marriage was also took place between them. Thereafter, she came to know that the petitioner is not divorcee and he cheated her. Hence, she lodged the complaint against the petitioner and his family members. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The defacto complainant knowing every thing very well married the petitioner. But with an intention to grab the money from the petitioner, she lodged the complainant. Co-accused have been granted anticipatory bail. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the offence is grave in nature. The petitioner cheated the defacto complainant by stating that he is a divorcee. Considering the gravity of offence, the earlier anticipatory



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7001 of 2026

bail petition filed by the petitioner along with other accused, was dismissed by this Court in respect of this petitioner. All other accused were granted anticipatory bail by this Court. He vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The petitioner has no previous case. Investigation is still pending.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, though the FIR has been registered on 11.12.2025, the respondent police has not secured the accused so far, by that time the material part of the investigation might have been completed and also considering the age of the parties and there is no previous case against the petitioner, and also considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Additional Mahila Judge**,



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.7001 of 2026

Karur, Karur District and on further conditions that:

WEB COPY

**[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police,
daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
09.04.2026

TM



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7001 of 2026

To

- 1.The Additional Mahila Judge, Karur Karur District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
AWPS, Karur
Karur District.
(Crime No.42 of 2025)
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7001 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 7001 of 2026

Date : 09.04.2026