



CRL OP(MD). No. 7223 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 7223 of 2026

S.Arumugasamy

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Trichy DCB Police Station
Trichy
(Crime No. 18 of 2021)

...Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Boopal
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 18 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No. 7223 of 2026

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 423, 465, 468, 471 and 34 of IPC in Crime No. 18 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and other accused were created forged sale deed and sold the property of the defacto complainant. Based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, the petitioner has been arrayed as accused. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that alleged occurrence took place in the year 2011 and however, FIR has been registered in the year 2021 and except this petitioner, all other accused were released on bail. Hence, he prayed to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No. 7223 of 2026

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, a case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 423, 465, 468, 471 and 34 of IPC in Crime No. 18 of 2021. He further submits that the petitioner has no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner, and considering the facts that there is a property dispute between the parties and the alleged occurrence took place in the year 2011 and however, FIR has been registered in the year 2021 and so far, the respondent police have not taken any steps to secure the petitioner and except this petitioner, all other accused were already released on bail and no previous case is pending against the petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:



CRL OP(MD). No. 7223 of 2026

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate-IV, Trichy, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with



CRL OP(MD). No. 7223 of 2026

WEB COPY

law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
10.04.2026

apd

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate-IV, Trichy.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Trichy DCB Police Station
Trichy
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 7223 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 7223 of 2026

Date : 10.04.2026