



CRL OP(MD). No. 6952 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP (MD) . No. 6952 of 2026

Mariyappan ...Petitioner/Accused NO.2

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Alangulam Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
(Crime No.46 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner:Mr.S.Kasirajan
For Respondent:Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 46 of 2026 on the file of
the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6952 of 2026

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A2, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.01.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 109(1) and 326(g) of BNS, 2023 and Section 3 of Explosive Substances Act, in Crime No.46 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is a lorry driver and he has been working in Tarus lorry bearing Registration No.Tn S2 AD 4749 belonging to Tamilselvan of Dharmapuri. The said vehicle was engaged on contract basis for road work at Kollam, Kerala. As part of his employment, the defacto complainant used to transport baby jely stones from MARIS quarry situated south of Maruthamputhur near Alangulam to Kollam, Kerala. On 27.01.2026 at about 01.30 a.m., after loading



CRL OP(MD). No. 6952 of 2026

WEB COPY baby jelly stones from the said quarry, the defacto complainant was proceeding in the above vehicle on the Mukkoodal Maruthamputhur Road. When the vehicle reached about 50 meters west of Sevalapperi Sudalai temple, the petitioner and other accused person threw the burning bottle containing inflammable substance and the bottle broke and caused fire injury on the body of the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner's name is not mentioned in the FIR and based on the suspicion, he has been arrayed as accused. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.01.2026. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No. 6952 of 2026

WEB COPY 4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the defacto complainant is taking treatment. He would further submit that the investigation has been completed. He would further submit that the petitioner has one previous case. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and considering the fact that the petitioner is not a named accused and though the defacto complainant is still hospitalized, he has been arrayed as accused only based on the suspicion and though one previous case is pending



CRL OP(MD). No. 6952 of 2026

against the petitioner, he was released on bail and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Alangulam, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which she is suspected;



CRL OP(MD). No. 6952 of 2026

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond

either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No. 6952 of 2026

[g] If the accused thereafter
absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered
under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)

09.04.2026

vsg

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate Court, Alangulam.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Alangulam Police Station,
Tenkasi District.

3.The Superintendent, Central Jail,
Palayamkottai.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 6952 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 6952 of 2026

Date : 09.04.2026