



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.6809 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 07.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6809 of 2026

Pandiyan

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Jayamangalam Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.142 of 2026)

...Respondents/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Gokul
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 142 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 115(2) and 351(3) of BNS and Section 4 of the TNPWH Act, in Crime No.142 of 2026, on the file of



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6809 of 2026

the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 23.03.2026 at about 03.00 p.m., in the temple festival, there is wordy quarrel arose between the parties. In which the petitioner along with other accused made assault upon the defacto complainant parties. Hence, they sustained injuries and admitted in the hospital. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. There is a dispute arose during the temple festival. Hence, he prays to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) fairly submits that the offence is grave in nature. In the temple festival, there is wordy quarrel arose between the parties, in the result of the same, the accused persons attacked the defacto complainant parties. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. However, the petitioner has no previous case. The injured in this case has been discharged from the hospital. Investigation is



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.6809 of 2026

still pending.

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and there is dispute between the parties during the temple festival, the injured in this case has been discharged from the hospital, the petitioner has no previous case and also considering the other facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Periyakulam and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, at 10.30 a.m., on every Saturday for a period of four weeks, thereafter, as and when required for interrogation:

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.6809 of 2026

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)
07.04.2026

TM

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Periyakulam.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Jayamangalam Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.142 of 2026)
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

4/5



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6809 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 6809 of 2026

Date : 07.04.2026