



CRL OP(MD). No. 6781 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 07.04.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No. 6781 of 2026

Rajaram @ Gopi

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Tenkasi Police Station,
Tenkasi.
(Crime No. 106 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Sathyachidambaram
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 106 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD). No. 6781 of 2026

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 18.02.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(B), 29(1) and 25 of NDPS Act in Crime No. 106 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 18.02.2026 at about 7.00 a.m., based on the secret information, when the respondent police were in patrol duty, they found that the petitioner and other accused were in illegal joint possession of 11 kgs of kanja (each 2.200 kgs) in order to sell the same in a lorry bearing Reg.No.TN-52-J-8259, a car, bearing Reg.NO.TN-72-DY-6314 and a two wheeler, bearing Reg.No.TN-84-A-8373. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that from the petitioner, only 2.200 kgs of ganja was recovered. He would further submit that he has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 18.02.2026. Therefore, prayed to grant



CRL OP(MD). No. 6781 of 2026

bail for the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that on 18.02.2026, when the respondent police were in patrol duty, they found that the petitioner and other accused were in illegal joint possession of 11 kgs kanja, due to which the case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii) (B), 29(1) and 25 of NDPS Act in Crime No. 106 of 2026. He would further submit that the petitioner has no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is completed and charge sheet is filed and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence and quantity of contraband involved in this case is no a commercial quantity and the petitioner has no previous



CRL OP(MD). No. 6781 of 2026

case and the investigation is completed and charge sheet is also filed and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Special Sessions Judge for NDPS Act Cases, Madurai, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the learned Special Sessions Judge for NDPS Act Cases, Madurai, on every Monday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three months and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during



CRL OP(MD). No. 6781 of 2026

WEB COPY

investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
07.04.2026

apd

To

5/7



CRL OP(MD). No. 6781 of 2026

1. The Special Sessions Judge for NDPS Act Cases,
Madurai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Tenkasi Police Station,
Tenkasi.
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Palayamkottai.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No. 6781 of 2026

P. DHANABAL, J

apd

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 6781 of 2026

Date : 07.04.2026