



CRL OP(MD). No.7557 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 22/04/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.7557 of 2026

Navaneethakrishnan

... Petitioner/ Accused No.6

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep By,
The Inspector of Police,
Puthanatham Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.244 of 2025).
PRAYER :-

... Respondent/ Complainant


For Bail in Crime No.244 of 2025 on the file of the respondent
Police.

For Petitioner : R.Mathava Selvam,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to



CRL OP(MD), No.7557 of 2026

judicial custody on 12.08.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 310(2), 333, 127(7), 311, 351(3) and 332(b) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.244 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 06.08.2025, the petitioner and other accused are said to have trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and robbed 9.5 sovereigns of gold jewellery and a sum of Rs.1,00,000/-. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the co-accused was released on bail and he has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.08.2025. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner and other accused are said to



CRL OP(MD), No.7557 of 2026

have trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and robbed 9.5 sovereigns of gold jewellery and a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- and hence, the offence is grave in nature and the petitioner has 2 previous cases. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner. He would further submit that the investigation was completed and charge sheet was filed before the concerned Court and the same has been taken on file and now, the case was posted for framing charges and the co-accused was already released on bail by this Court.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of offence, and the case was posted for framing charges and though the prosecution stated that the petitioner has 2 previous cases, those cases are not similar kind of offences and in that cases, he was granted bail and anticipatory bail, and the co-accused was already released on bail by this Court and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant



CRL OP(MD), No.7557 of 2026

bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai, Trichy District**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on all working days **at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.**

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;



CRL OP(MD), No.7557 of 2026

WEB COPY

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].


[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J)
22.04.2026

dss

P. DHANABAL,J

DSS



CRL OP(MD), No.7557 of 2026

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Manapparai, Trichy District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Puthanatham Police Station, Trichy District.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Trichy.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7557 of 2026

Date : 22/04/2026